

ISSN 2414-9055

UDC 004.67

https://doi.org/10.31721/2414-9055.2017.3.2.20

### CREATION OF THE PROGRAM OF TARGET OPERATIONS ASSESSMENT CALCULATION

Serdiuk O. Yu., postgraduate student of the Computer Systems and

Networks Department SIHE "Kryvvi Rih National University"

**Abstract** The purpose of the paper is to develop a software product for the evaluation of simple target operations. The methods of mathematical modeling and object-oriented programming were applied during the program development. As a result, the program complex has been developed. The comparison of the studied processes is possible by means of the program of target operations calculation assessment. The software product performs assessment calculation, based on the expression of cybernetic estimative indicator that was developed in early researches. It is possible to calculate assessment value of testing operations in the training and educational systems, and also operations of software or any technical object by means of the developed software product. Also the developed method of estimation allows to get objective and correct operation assessment. The software work was demonstrated by the equipment evaluation to select the best option. As cybernetic approach of the operation description is universal and the assessment of any target operation can be calculated using three basic indicators. They are an expert evaluation of input parameters and the time of operation. The software product of assessment calculation based on the single estimative criterion is suitable for any kind of estimation. As has been noted, the correctness of tasks decision and tasks complexity are necessary for the assessment calculation of any testing operation that is made by any person. The reduction of expert estimates values of input parameters by alignment of action directed product values is necessary for the comparative assessment, task assessment.

**Introduction.** Today the main problem of successful goal achievement for system objects of the cybernetic class is connected with a possibility of identification of their procedural activities. The development of the cybernetic estimated indicator [1-3] provides scientific approach to the problem solution. However, there is a question of practical use about the developed scientific and methodical decisions. Logical development of this issue is development of software product based on technology of the universal and adequate assessment of engineering procedures of the controlled systems [4-7].

The use of the known methods of the analysis, planning and implementation of any kind of executive systems activity [8-10], does not result to achievement of maximum possibilities of supersystem [11-12] that uses the results of their procedural activities. It means that the available resources of enterprises are used with low efficiency. This reduces their competitiveness and pace of development.

The problem of creation of the single cybernetic estimative indicator is also actual in the training and educational systems [13-15]. It is

connected with the fact that such indicator provides an assessment of dynamics of development of personality practical skills or entity personnel in case of accomplishment of any technological operations.

The advantages of computer estimation are speed, objectivity, consistency and visualization in forming of estimative result. And the advantages of the used technology are absence of subjectivity, universality and accounting possibility of all important parameters ( speed, complexity and quality of the solved task).

**Materials and Methods**. It is proposed to consider the example of the two equipments evaluation presented in [3], for demonstration of the created software work. In work the operation parameters of two electric electric heaters for room warming were considered. Also the assessment of the target operations that are made by the equipment №. 1 and No. 2 has been counted. The assessment calculation is based on the brought input and output parameters for comparative assessment to make the choice of an optimal variant of the equipment.

The input products expert evaluations of the transactions are:  $\alpha$ 1=1546,  $\alpha$ 2=1904,24. The time values of warming the room space are TO1 =

### **COMPUTER SCIENCE**

# CSITA

### ISSN 2414-9055

1, TO2=0,83. The expert evaluation of output parameters will be as followings:  $k\alpha 1 = 2790$ ;  $k\alpha 2 = 3085,7$ .

The created software product of assessment calculation has the program settlement modules control window at which it is possible to choose the class of the investigated operation (fig. 1).

In our case we will consider the first module

After data entry in the needed cells and the last pressing of the "Enter" key the operations data are visualized in the table of operation (1). There are values of all main indicators of economic transaction including its efficiency in the left table (2). And in the right table (3) both basic operation data and the indicators are displayed by one line (fig. 3).

| calculate an assessment of simple target operations. |   |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|
|  | Effli / Efficiency of transactions v.2.16 |  |  |
|  |   |  |  |
|  |   |  |  |
|  |   |  |  |
|  | Evaluation of simple<br>transactions      | Evaluation of<br>transactions and projects<br>(financial approach) | Evaluation of<br>transactions and<br>projects (quantitative<br>approach) |
|  |   | ^^   |  |
|  |   | 🖌 Russian  |  |

Figure 1. Control window of estimated modules of the target operations assessment program ram

The data entry window for an assessment of mouse left key on the "Evaluation of simple simple operations (fig. 2) opens when you click the transactions".



Figure 3. The program window of the operations assessment calculation results that displaying functioning of the equipments № 1 and № 2 of the program where there is an opportunity to

© Computer science, information technology, automation. 2017. Volume 3, issue 2

## CSITA

### ISSN 2414-9055

Therefore, if Q1>Q2, then the choice of the equipment No. 1 is reasonable.

**Results.** The software work was demonstrated by the equipment evaluation to select the best option.

As cybernetic approach of the operation description is universal and the assessment of any target operation can be calculated using three basic indicators. They are an expert evaluation of input parameters, an expert evaluation of output parameters and the time of operation. The software product of assessment calculation based on the single estimative criterion is suitable for any kind of estimation.

For example, as has been noted in [3], the taking into account the correctness of tasks decision and tasks complexity are necessary for the assessment calculation of any testing operation that is made by any person. The reduction of expert estimates values of input parameters by alignment of action directed product values is necessary for the comparative assessment implementation of the test tasks of different complexity performed by several subjects, as well as any operations.

**Conclusions.** Developed a program of cyber operations assessment, the calculations of which are carried out using a universal single assessment indicator *Q*. A special feature of the program is a unique opportunity to evaluate the operations performed by a system or mechanism both technical and biological origin, and functioning in any sphere of activity.

This assessment calculation is possible in the case of using the cybernetic approach to technology identification. Since the global input and output functions of the operations are unique to each control, based on a technique that allows to bring significant factors to a single expert values for assessment calculation.

Presentation of studies on assessment calculation of the operations performed by persons, using the developed software product is the subject of the following publications.

computerbased testing. Technology audit and production

#### reserves, 6, 4 (20) (2014): 21 -22. Doi: 10.15587/2312-8372.2014.29599

2. Serdiuk, O. Criterion of identification of test steps in training and educational systems. Metallurgical and Mining Industry, No. 9 (2015): 605-608.

3. Serdiuk, O. Development of criterion for comparative assessment of executive systems functional activity. Technology audit and production reserves, 4/3 (30) (2016). Doi: 10.15587/2312-8372.2016.74866.

4. Lutsenko, I. Deployed model of extremal system operation for solving optimal management problems. EasternEuropean Journal of Enterprise Technologies. 5/2 (71) (2014): 61-66. Doi: 10.15587/1729-4061.2014.28592 ISSN 2414-9055 20

5. Lutsenko, I. Identification of target system operations. 1. Determination of the time of the actual completion of the target operation. Eastern-European Journal of Enterprise Technologies, 6 2(72) (2014), 42-47. Doi: 10.15587/1729-4061.2014.28040

.6. Lutsenko,I. Identification of target system operations. 2. Determination of the value of the complex costs of the target operation. Eastern-European Journal of Enterprise Technologies. 1/2 (73). (2015): 31–36. Doi: 10.15587/1729-4061.2015.35950

7. Lutsenko, I. Identification of target system operations. Development of global efficiency criterion of target operations. Eastern-European Journal of Enterprise Technologies, Vol. 2, Issue 2 (74) (2015): 35–40. Doi: 10.15587/1729-4061.2015.38963

8. Maryuta, A., Kochura, E. Economic-mathematical methods of optimal business management.Science and education, (2002): 143

9. Fedoseev, V., Garmash, A., Dayitbegov, D. Economicmathematical methods and applied models. UNITY (1999): 391.

10. Aralbaev, Z., Smirnova, Z. Methodical aspects of system formation indicators at industrial enterprises in the conditions of competition. Bulletin of the Orenburg State University, 13 (132) (2011): 17-22.

11. Lutsenko, I., Vihrova, E., Fomovskaya, E., Serdiuk, O. Development of the method for testing of efficiency criterion of models simple target operations. Eastern European Journal of Enterprise Technologies, 2/4 (80), (2016): 42–50. Doi: 10.15587/1729-4061.2016.66307

12. Lutsenko, I. Fomovskaya, E. Identification of target system operations. The practice of determining the optimal control. Eastern-European Journal of Enterprise Technologies, 6, Issue 2 (78) (2015): 30–36. Doi: 10.15587/1729-4061.2015.54432

13. Serdiuk, O. Formation of a conceptual approach to the creation of an expert system for the identification of the processes of intellectual activity. Eastern European Journal of Enterprise Technologies ISSN 1729-3774, 6/2 (78) (2015): C.11 – 16.

14. Serdiuk, O. Criterion of identification of test steps in training and educational systems. Metallurgical and Mining Industry, 9 (2015): 605-608.

15. Serdiuk, O. The development of optimization methods for knowledge base enrichment processes. Eureka: Physical Sciences and Engineering, 1 (2015): 42-48.

### **References** 1. Serdiuk, O. Integrated

© Computer science, information technology, automation. 2017. Volume 3, issue 2

of

assessment